

EVALUATION SUMMARY

Title of Program: 2010 Sue Schley Mathews Pediatric Conference: “Off to See the Wizard”

Date: Friday, September 24, 2010

Speaker(s): Jeffery Brewster, MD, Paul LoDuca, MD, Karin Baker, MD, Paula Guy, RN
Elizabeth Mathis, RN, PNP, Jan McInnis

OBJECTIVES AND PRESENTATION

Please evaluate the faculty’s presentation and objectives by using the following scale and criteria:

(1=Lowest Rating 5=Highest Rating)

Circle one

“So Your Child Has A Fever”

Jeffery Brewster, MD

PRESENTER	RATING SCALE						
Content of Presentation	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Quality of Presentation	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Rate the effectiveness of teaching methods (e.g. lecture, discussion, group exercise, panel, self assessment)	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
OBJECTIVES: By the end of the presentation, attendees will be able to:	RATING SCALE						
1. Discuss clinical manifestations.	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
2. Outline and discuss lab requirements.	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
3. Review the guidelines of treatments.	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent

OBJECTIVES AND PRESENTATION

Please evaluate the faculty’s presentation and objectives by using the following scale and criteria:

(1=Lowest Rating 5=Highest Rating)

Circle one

“Sickle Cell Disease Therapy Guidelines”

Paul LoDuca, MD

PRESENTER	RATING SCALE						
Content of Presentation	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Quality of Presentation	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Rate the effectiveness of teaching methods (e.g. lecture, discussion, group exercise, panel, self assessment)	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
OBJECTIVES: By the end of the presentation, attendees will be able to:	RATING SCALE						
1. Review improvement of care for the sickle cell patient.	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
2. Overview of therapeutic options.	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent

“Content was presented without bias of any commercial product or drug.”

OBJECTIVES AND PRESENTATION

Please evaluate the faculty's presentation and objectives by using the following scale and criteria:
(1=Lowest Rating 5=Highest Rating)

Circle one

“Criteria/Guidelines for Botox Injections”

Karin Baker, MD

PRESENTER	RATING SCALE
Content of Presentation	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
Quality of Presentation	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
Rate the effectiveness of teaching methods (e.g. lecture, discussion, group exercise, panel, self assessment)	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
OBJECTIVES: By the end of the presentation, attendees will be able to:	RATING SCALE
1. Identify children who could benefit from Botox injections.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
2. Describe benefits of Botox injections.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
3. Discuss complications of Botox injections.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent

OBJECTIVES AND PRESENTATION

Please evaluate the faculty's presentation and objectives by using the following scale and criteria:
(1=Lowest Rating 5=Highest Rating)

Circle one

“Georgia Partnership for Tele-Health”

Paula Guy, RN

PRESENTER	RATING SCALE
Content of Presentation	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
Quality of Presentation	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
Rate the effectiveness of teaching methods (e.g. lecture, discussion, group exercise, panel, self assessment)	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
OBJECTIVES: By the end of the presentation, attendees will be able to:	RATING SCALE
1. Explain Telemedicine	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
2. Discuss guidelines for use of Telemedicine.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
3. Describe outcomes of utilization of Telemedicine.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent

“Content was presented without bias of any commercial product or drug.”

OBJECTIVES AND PRESENTATION

Please evaluate the faculty's presentation and objectives by using the following scale and criteria:
(1=Lowest Rating 5=Highest Rating)

Circle one

“Pediatric Bronchiolitis”
Elizabeth Mathis, RN, PNP

PRESENTER	RATING SCALE
Content of Presentation	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
Quality of Presentation	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
Rate the effectiveness of teaching methods (e.g. lecture, discussion, group exercise, panel, self assessment)	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
OBJECTIVES: By the end of the presentation, attendees will be able to:	RATING SCALE
1. Define pediatric bronchiolitis.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
2. Discuss risk factors for developing bronchiolitis.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent

OBJECTIVES AND PRESENTATION

Please evaluate the faculty's presentation and objectives by using the following scale and criteria:
(1=Lowest Rating 5=Highest Rating)

Circle one

“Wonderful Wizard of Oz-Change in the Workplace”
Jan McNnis

PRESENTER	RATING SCALE
Content of Presentation	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
Quality of Presentation	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
Rate the effectiveness of teaching methods (e.g. lecture, discussion, group exercise, panel, self assessment)	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
OBJECTIVES: By the end of the presentation, attendees will be able to:	RATING SCALE
1. Recognize the need for change within nursing.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
2. Identify practical tips for dealing with change.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent
3. Demonstrate how change can increase success.	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent

“Content was presented without bias of any commercial product or drug.”

OVERALL PROGRAM EVALUATION

PROGRAM	RATING SCALE						
Program Quality	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Usefulness of Content	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Printed Material	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Effective use of Audiovisuals	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Facilities	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent
Refreshments/Food	Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent

Comments about the program:

Suggestions for future program topics:
